Appl. No. 10/614,307 Amdt. Dated March 12, 2007 Reply to Office action of December 21, 2006

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 1 2 2007

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-15, 19, 21, 24-26 and 28-30 remain in this application. Claims 1-15 and 28-30 have been withdrawn.

The examiner has rejected claims 16-18, 20, 22, 23 and 27 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by *Wang*, et al. (US Patent No. 6,291,872).

The examiner has objected to claims 19, 21 and 24-26 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In view of these remarks, reconsideration of the above noted rejections and objections is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 USC 102(e):

The rejected claims have been canceled by the above amendments, thereby curing the grounds for the rejections.

Allowable Claims:

By the above amendments, claims 19, 21 and 24 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 25 and 26 depend from newly independent claim 24, so they have not been amended herein.

Conclusion:

For the reasons specifically discussed above, and others, it is believed that claims 19, 21 and 24-26 define patentable subject matter. Reconsideration of the previous rejections as they might apply to these claims is therefore respectfully

Appl. No. 10/614,307 Amdt. Dated March 12, 2007 Reply to Office action of December 21, 2006

requested. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

March 12, 2007

Date

L. Jon Lindsay

Registration No. 36,855

Respectfully submitted,

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

L. Jon Lindsay 342 Litchfield Ln. Houston, TX 77024

Telephone: 713-984-2398 Facsimile: 928-563-4114